

United Nations—Friend or Foe?

The United Nations is portrayed by the media, the public school system and the Federal government as a benevolent institution that will bestow upon humanity “freedom from war”ⁱ. But beneath the surface is something entirely different—a tyrannical, grasping and anti-human creature that would greatly depopulate the earth and confine the survivors to restricted areas. Strong words perhaps. Let’s examine the charges one by one--then you decide.

Tyrannical? The UN’s Covenant on Human Rights is a list of mere privileges. Art. 13 permits freedom of religion “subject to limitations prescribed by law.” Art. 14 grants the privilege to impart ideas “subject to certain penalties, liabilities and restrictions”. Inalienable rights are not recognized by the UN, which was structured to concentrate all power in an omnipotent authority “for the good of humanity” of course. Unfortunately unchecked power causes fatal results: “power kills and absolute power kills absolutely.”ⁱⁱ

Grasping? Without Congressional approval the UN has claimed 43.6 million acres in the U.S. under its Biosphere Reserve program. It has also designated the Wildlands Project as the model for “biological diversity”.ⁱⁱⁱ Underwritten by the Nature Conservancy and promoted by the Sierra Club, the Wildlands Project would return “at least 50 percent” of the earth to “core wilderness areas” where human activity is barred. Core wilderness areas are to be surrounded by “buffer zones” in which limited human activity may be permitted. In both the core areas and buffer zones “the collective needs of non-human species must take precedence over the needs and desires of humans.” Human habitat will be mainly confined to 25 percent of the land.

Anti-human? In 1947 Julian Huxley, the first Director-General of the UN Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, wrote, “Thus even though...any radical eugenic policy will be for many years politically and psychologically impossible, it will be important for UNESCO to see that... the public mind is informed of the issues at stake so that much that now is unthinkable may at least become thinkable.”^{iv}—In other words the “educational” arm of UNESCO must condition the public to accept the idea that life and death decisions should be made by the UN.

In a UNESCO publication Jacques Cousteau stated: “It’s terrible to have to say this. World population must be stabilized and to do that we must eliminate 350,000 people per day. This is so horrible to contemplate that we shouldn’t even say it.”^v—Thus the environmental elite would like to reduce the population by 125,000,000 per year. To put this in perspective governments in this century have murdered 169 million people^{vi}, exclusive of battle dead, or 1.7 million per year on average. The UN’s “scientific” arm is promoting the elimination of sixty-five times that number for an indefinite period! Indeed it may have already begun. As a result of its 1990-96 ban on Iraqi oil sales an estimated 30,000 Iraqi children per month have died for lack of foreign exchange with which to purchase food and medicine. Not quite Cousteau’s 350,000 a day, but a start.

Who will protect the American people from this monstrosity?

The Federal government? You decide: the Department of the Interior, USAID, the International Monetary Fund and World Bank (both US-funded) all work hand in glove with the UN. The State Department alone has transferred \$95.3 billion to that body, as of 1993.--The armed forces, won’t they protect the people? No, for they are to be completely disarmed and replaced by a UN Peace Force [standing army]^{vii}. In fact “U.S.” soldiers today are illegally taking orders from a Finnish UN general in Macedonia.--The National Guard? The Guard has been totally federalized. Governors have used it against the people, as when guardsmen killed four students at Kent State.--The police? Federal police have remorselessly killed scores of peaceable Americans. Local police can be federalized, as were the Texas Rangers during the Waco incident.

If the government, the armed forces, the National Guard and the police either will not or cannot protect the people, who will?

ⁱ Department of State Publication 7277, *Freedom from War: The United States Program for General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World*, 1961

ⁱⁱ R.J. Rummel, *Death by Government*, 1994, xvi

ⁱⁱⁱ UN Environmental Programme, *Global Biodiversity Assessment*, Sect. 10.4

^{iv} William F. Jasper, *Global Tyranny... Step by Step*, 165

^v *UNESCO Courier*, Nov. 1994, 13

^{vi} R.J. Rummel, *Death by Government*, 4

^{vii} *Freedom from War*, 10

**"We Defend
Their Freedom"**



**Don't Let That Shadow Touch Them
Defend the Militia!**